

Universal reversibility of JC^* -triples

Richard M. Timoney

Trinity College Dublin

Joint work with L. Bunce continuing earlier work with B. Feely

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \cong \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \cong \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \cong \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \equiv \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \equiv \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \equiv \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \cong \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

A (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq A$ (A C^* -algebra) such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

Examples: $E = A$,

$$E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\ell_d^2) \right\} \equiv \ell_d^2$$

row Hilbert space of dimension d . In this case

$$\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\} = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \zeta + \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \xi).$$

Proposition

If E is a JC^ -triple then $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ acts transitively on B_E . (Note: For $\dim E = 1$, $B_E = \mathbb{D}$ and $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} .)*

JC^* -triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB^* -triple.

More Examples: Previous examples were all **TROs**

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a **JC^* -algebra** ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB^* -triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC^* -triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB^ -triple.*

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC^* -algebra $(a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB^* -triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC^* -triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB^* -triple.

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E)$.

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}$.

More generally E a JC^* -algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E$).

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB^* -triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\})$.

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC^* -triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB^ -triple.*

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC^* -algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB^* -triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC^* -triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB^ -triple.*

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC^* -algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB^* -triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC^* -triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB^* -triple.

More Examples: Previous examples were all **TROs**

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC^* -algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB^* -triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC*-triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB-triple.*

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC*-algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB-triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$*

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC*-triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB-triple.*

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC*-algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E$).

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB*-triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\})$.

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

JC*-triples (2)

Theorem (Kaup's Riemann mapping Th. 1983)

If F is a Banach space and $\mathcal{D} \subset F$ is a domain biholomorphic to bounded symmetric domain ($\Rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively) then \mathcal{D} is biholomorphic to B_E for E a JB*-triple.

More Examples: Previous examples were all TROs

$(a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow [a, b, c] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ab^*c \in E).$

$E = \{x \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : x^t = x\}.$

More generally E a JC*-algebra ($a, b \in E \Rightarrow a^*, (ab + ba)/2 \in E).$

Theorem (W. Kaup 1984)

$P: A \rightarrow A$ a linear projection of norm 1 $\Rightarrow E = P(A)$ is (isometric to) a JB*-triple with triple product $\{a, b, c\}_P = P(\{a, b, c\}).$

Arazy-Friedman (1978): For $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$, described $P(A)$

Reversible subtriples

Recall: a (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

E is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1^1 a_2^* a_3 a_4^* \cdots a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

or equivalently if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

where

$$[a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}], a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}] = a_1 a_2^* a_3 \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1}$$

Reversible subtriples

Recall: a (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

E is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a^1 a_2^* a_3 a_4^* \cdots a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

or equivalently if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

where

$$[a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}], a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}] = a_1 a_2^* a_3 \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1}$$

Reversible subtriples

Recall: a (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

E is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1^1 a_2^* a_3 a_4^* \cdots a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

or equivalently if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

where

$$[a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}], a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}] = a_1 a_2^* a_3 \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1}$$

Reversible subtriples

Recall: a (concrete) JC^* -triple is a closed $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a) \in E$$

E is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1^1 a_2^* a_3 a_4^* \cdots a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

or equivalently if

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

where

$$[a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] = [[a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}], a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}] = a_1 a_2^* a_3 \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1}$$

Reversible Jordan algebras

Jordan product (on $\mathcal{B}(H)$) is $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$.

A (concrete) **JC-algebra** is a closed Jordan subalgebra of

$\mathcal{B}(H)_{sa} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x = x^*\}$.

JC*-algebra A is a closed Jordan *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ($\iff A_{sa}$ is a JC-algebra).

A is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 3, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \implies a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in A$$

Proposition

A JC-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is reversible $\iff A_{sa}$ is reversible
 $\iff A$ is reversible as a JC*-triple.*

Reversible Jordan algebras

Jordan product (on $\mathcal{B}(H)$) is $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$.

A (concrete) **JC-algebra** is a closed Jordan subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)_{sa} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x = x^*\}$.

JC*-algebra A is a closed Jordan *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ($\iff A_{sa}$ is a JC-algebra).

A is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 3, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \implies a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in A$$

Proposition

A JC*-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is reversible $\iff A_{sa}$ is reversible
 $\iff A$ is reversible as a JC*-triple.

Reversible Jordan algebras

Jordan product (on $\mathcal{B}(H)$) is $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$.

A (concrete) **JC-algebra** is a closed Jordan subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)_{sa} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x = x^*\}$.

JC*-algebra A is a closed Jordan *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ($\iff A_{sa}$ is a JC-algebra).

A is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 3, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \implies a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in A$$

Proposition

A JC-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is reversible $\iff A_{sa}$ is reversible $\iff A$ is reversible as a JC*-triple.*

Reversible Jordan algebras

Jordan product (on $\mathcal{B}(H)$) is $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$.

A (concrete) **JC-algebra** is a closed Jordan subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)_{sa} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x = x^*\}$.

JC*-algebra A is a closed Jordan *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ($\iff A_{sa}$ is a JC-algebra).

A is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 3, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \implies a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in A$$

Proposition

A JC-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is reversible $\iff A_{sa}$ is reversible $\iff A$ is reversible as a JC*-triple.*

Reversible Jordan algebras

Jordan product (on $\mathcal{B}(H)$) is $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$.

A (concrete) **JC-algebra** is a closed Jordan subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)_{sa} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x = x^*\}$.

JC*-algebra A is a closed Jordan *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ($\iff A_{sa}$ is a JC-algebra).

A is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 3, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \implies a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in A$$

Proposition

A JC-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is reversible $\iff A_{sa}$ is reversible $\iff A$ is reversible as a JC*-triple.*

Reversible Jordan algebras

Jordan product (on $\mathcal{B}(H)$) is $a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$.

A (concrete) **JC-algebra** is a closed Jordan subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)_{sa} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(H) : x = x^*\}$.

JC*-algebra A is a closed Jordan *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ($\iff A_{sa}$ is a JC-algebra).

A is called **reversible** if

$$n \geq 3, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 \in A$$

Proposition

A JC-subalgebra $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is reversible $\iff A_{sa}$ is reversible $\iff A$ is reversible as a JC*-triple.*

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Example

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).

Pauli matrices are:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define $s_1, \dots, s_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$s_1 = \sigma_1 \otimes I_2, s_2 = \sigma_2 \otimes I_2, s_3 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1, s_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \notin V_4$$

V_4 is not reversible.

Universal reversibility

By a **triple homomorphism** $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ between JC^* -triples we mean a linear map with

$$\pi\{a, b, c\} = \{\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\}$$

A **TRO** is a closed $T \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ with
 $a, b, c \in T \Rightarrow [a, b, c] = ab^*c \in T$.

We speak of **TRO homomorphisms**, **Jordan homomorphisms** and **Jordan $*$ -homomorphisms**

TRO homoms and Jordan $*$ -homoms are triple homoms.

A JC^* -triple E is called **universally reversible** if $\pi(E)$ is reversible $\forall \pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ a triple homom.

A JC^* -algebra A is **universally reversible** if $\pi(A)$ is reversible $\forall \pi$

Universal reversibility

By a **triple homomorphism** $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ between JC^* -triples we mean a linear map with

$$\pi\{a, b, c\} = \{\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\}$$

A **TRO** is a closed $T \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ with
 $a, b, c \in T \Rightarrow [a, b, c] = ab^*c \in T$.

We speak of **TRO homomorphisms**, **Jordan homomorphisms** and **Jordan $*$ -homomorphisms**

TRO homoms and Jordan $*$ -homoms are triple homoms.

A JC^* -triple E is called **universally reversible** if $\pi(E)$ is reversible $\forall \pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ a triple homom.

A JC^* -algebra A is **universally reversible** if $\pi(A)$ is reversible $\forall \pi$

Universal reversibility

By a **triple homomorphism** $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ between JC^* -triples we mean a linear map with

$$\pi\{a, b, c\} = \{\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\}$$

A **TRO** is a closed $T \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ with
 $a, b, c \in T \Rightarrow [a, b, c] = ab^*c \in T$.

We speak of **TRO homomorphisms**, **Jordan homomorphisms** and **Jordan *-homomorphisms**

TRO homoms and Jordan *-homoms are triple homoms.

A JC^* -triple E is called **universally reversible** if $\pi(E)$ is reversible $\forall \pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ a triple homom.

A JC^* -algebra A is **universally reversible** if $\pi(A)$ is reversible $\forall \pi$

Universal reversibility

By a **triple homomorphism** $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ between JC^* -triples we mean a linear map with

$$\pi\{a, b, c\} = \{\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\}$$

A **TRO** is a closed $T \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ with
 $a, b, c \in T \Rightarrow [a, b, c] = ab^*c \in T$.

We speak of **TRO homomorphisms**, **Jordan homomorphisms** and **Jordan *-homomorphisms**

TRO homoms and Jordan *-homoms are triple homoms.

A JC^* -triple E is called **universally reversible** if $\pi(E)$ is reversible $\forall \pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ a triple homom.

A JC^* -algebra A is **universally reversible** if $\pi(A)$ is reversible $\forall \pi$

Universal reversibility

By a **triple homomorphism** $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ between JC^* -triples we mean a linear map with

$$\pi\{a, b, c\} = \{\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\}$$

A **TRO** is a closed $T \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ with
 $a, b, c \in T \Rightarrow [a, b, c] = ab^*c \in T$.

We speak of **TRO homomorphisms**, **Jordan homomorphisms** and **Jordan *-homomorphisms**

TRO homoms and Jordan *-homoms are triple homoms.

A JC^* -triple E is called **universally reversible** if $\pi(E)$ is reversible $\forall \pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ a triple homom.

A JC^* -algebra A is **universally reversible** if $\pi(A)$ is reversible $\forall \pi$

Universal reversibility

By a **triple homomorphism** $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ between JC^* -triples we mean a linear map with

$$\pi\{a, b, c\} = \{\pi(a), \pi(b), \pi(c)\}$$

A **TRO** is a closed $T \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ with
 $a, b, c \in T \Rightarrow [a, b, c] = ab^*c \in T$.

We speak of **TRO homomorphisms**, **Jordan homomorphisms** and **Jordan *-homomorphisms**

TRO homoms and Jordan *-homoms are triple homoms.

A JC^* -triple E is called **universally reversible** if $\pi(E)$ is reversible $\forall \pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ a triple homom.

A JC^* -algebra A is **universally reversible** if $\pi(A)$ is reversible $\forall \pi$

Example (Spin factors again)

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).
(exist for all n and have 'unique' internal structure)

V_5 has both reversible and non-reversible representations.

Example (Spin factors again)

Spin factor V_n is (the JC^* -algebra) spanned by the identity and n 'spins' s_1, \dots, s_n (which satisfy $s_i^2 = 1$, $s_i \circ s_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$).
(exist for all n and have 'unique' internal structure)

V_5 has both reversible and non-reversible representations.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Example (Hilbert)

Hilbert space $H = \ell_d^2$ is a JC^* -triple in several ways, such as

$$H_{\text{row}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} z_2 & z_2 & \cdots & z_d \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \quad H_{\text{col}} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} z_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_2 & 0 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ z_d & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

H_{row} and H_{col} are TROs — hence reversible.

3rd reversible representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: H_{\text{row}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H \oplus H) \\ \pi(x) &= x \oplus x^t, \end{aligned}$$

$\pi(H_{\text{row}})$ not a TRO.

Proposition

H is not universally reversible if $\dim H > 2$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $\text{TRO}(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $TRO(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $\text{TRO}(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $\text{TRO}(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $\text{TRO}(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $\text{TRO}(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $TRO(E)$.

Intrinsic versus extrinsic JC^* -triple

As in the example $E = H$, we may abstract from from a specific concrete JC^* -subtriple $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ to features common to all triple isomorphic copies $\pi: E \rightarrow \pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$.

If E and F are (concrete) JC^* -triples, $\pi: E \rightarrow F$ is a triple isomorphism \iff it is a surjective linear isometry.

Essential then: E with norm or E with $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$

Reversibility is extrinsic.

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow [a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1}] + [a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in E$$

$$n \geq 2, a_1, \dots, a_{2n+1} \in E \Rightarrow a_1 a_2^* \cdots a_{2n}^* a_{2n+1} + a_{2n+1} a_{2n}^* \cdots a_2^* a_1 \in E$$

Depends on $C^*(E)$ or really $\text{TRO}(E)$.

Universal TRO of a JC^* -triple

Theorem

Given an abstract JC^* -triple E , there exists a universal (largest) TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by E .

More precisely, there exists an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} T^*(E)$, a triple homom. into a TRO $T^*(E)$ with the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow T$ is any given triple homomorphism with values in a TRO T , and $\tilde{\pi}: T^*(E) \rightarrow T$ is a TRO homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ unique given π .

Theorem

For a JC^* -algebra A , $(T^*(A), \alpha_A) \equiv (C_J^*(A), \beta_A)$

Universal TRO of a JC^* -triple

Theorem

Given an abstract JC^* -triple E , there exists a universal (largest) TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by E .

More precisely, there exists an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} T^*(E)$, a triple homom. into a TRO $T^*(E)$ with the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow T$ is any given triple homomorphism with values in a TRO T , and $\tilde{\pi}: T^*(E) \rightarrow T$ is a TRO homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ unique given π .

Theorem

For a JC^* -algebra A , $(T^*(A), \alpha_A) \equiv (C_J^*(A), \beta_A)$

Universal TRO of a JC^* -triple

Theorem

Given an abstract JC^* -triple E , there exists a universal (largest) TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by E .

More precisely, there exists an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} T^*(E)$, a triple homom. into a TRO $T^*(E)$ with the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow T$ is any given triple homomorphism with values in a TRO T , and $\tilde{\pi}: T^*(E) \rightarrow T$ is a TRO homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ unique given π .

Theorem

For a JC^* -algebra A , $(T^*(A), \alpha_A) \equiv (C_J^*(A), \beta_A)$

Universal TRO of a JC^* -triple

Theorem

Given an abstract JC^* -triple E , there exists a universal (largest) TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by E .

More precisely, there exists an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} T^*(E)$, a triple homom. into a TRO $T^*(E)$ with the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow T$ is any given triple homomorphism with values in a TRO T , and $\tilde{\pi}: T^*(E) \rightarrow T$ is a TRO homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ unique given π .

Theorem

For a JC^* -algebra A , $(T^*(A), \alpha_A) \equiv (C_J^*(A), \beta_A)$

Universal TRO of a JC^* -triple

Theorem

Given an abstract JC^* -triple E , there exists a universal (largest) TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by E .

More precisely, there exists an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} T^*(E)$, a triple homom. into a TRO $T^*(E)$ with the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow T$ is any given triple homomorphism with values in a TRO T , and $\tilde{\pi}: T^*(E) \rightarrow T$ is a TRO homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ unique given π .

Theorem

For a JC^* -algebra A , $(T^*(A), \alpha_A) \equiv (C_J^*(A), \beta_A)$

Universal TRO of a JC^* -triple

Theorem

Given an abstract JC^* -triple E , there exists a universal (largest) TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by E .

More precisely, there exists an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} T^*(E)$, a triple homom. into a TRO $T^*(E)$ with the universal property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

where $\pi: E \rightarrow T$ is any given triple homomorphism with values in a TRO T , and $\tilde{\pi}: T^*(E) \rightarrow T$ is a TRO homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ unique given π .

Theorem

For a JC^* -algebra A , $(T^*(A), \alpha_A) \equiv (C_J^*(A), \beta_A)$

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as JC^* -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as JC^* -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as JC^* -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as JC^* -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as JC^* -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as JC^* -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Canonical involution

Given a TRO $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, the **opposite** TRO T^{op} of T is the same space T with new ternary product

$$[x, y, z]^{\text{op}} = zy^*x$$

Represented as a TRO by $x \mapsto x^t$

Example. $T = H_{\text{row}} \Rightarrow T^{\text{op}} \equiv H_{\text{col}}$

T and T^{op} are isometric, identical as $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

$$\{x, y, z\} = ([x, y, z] + [z, y, x])/2$$

For $T^*(E)$, we must have $T^*(E)^{\text{op}} \equiv T^*(E)$. Implies a TRO anti-automorphism $\Phi: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing all points in $\alpha_E(E)$.

$\Phi =$ canonical involution

Universal TRO of universally reversible E

Theorem

If E is universally reversible and embedded in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that there exists a TRO anti-automorphism of $TRO(E)$ fixing all points of E , then $T^(E) = TRO(E)$.*

Check the hypothesis?

Theorem (Hanche-Olsen 1983)

If A is a JC^ -algebra, then A is universally reversible if and only if there are no surjective Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow V_n$ with $4 \leq n \leq \infty$.*

Theorem

A JC^ -triple E is universally reversible if and only if E has no triple representations of E onto any spin factor of dimension ≥ 5 or any Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Universal TRO of universally reversible E

Theorem

If E is universally reversible and embedded in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that there exists a TRO anti-automorphism of $TRO(E)$ fixing all points of E , then $T^(E) = TRO(E)$.*

Check the hypothesis?

Theorem (Hanche-Olsen 1983)

If A is a JC^ -algebra, then A is universally reversible if and only if there are no surjective Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow V_n$ with $4 \leq n \leq \infty$.*

Theorem

A JC^ -triple E is universally reversible if and only if E has no triple representations of E onto any spin factor of dimension ≥ 5 or any Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Universal TRO of universally reversible E

Theorem

If E is universally reversible and embedded in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that there exists a TRO anti-automorphism of $TRO(E)$ fixing all points of E , then $T^(E) = TRO(E)$.*

Check the hypothesis?

Theorem (Hanche-Olsen 1983)

If A is a JC^ -algebra, then A is universally reversible if and only if there are no surjective Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow V_n$ with $4 \leq n \leq \infty$.*

Theorem

A JC^ -triple E is universally reversible if and only if E has no triple representations of E onto any spin factor of dimension ≥ 5 or any Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Universal TRO of universally reversible E

Theorem

If E is universally reversible and embedded in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that there exists a TRO anti-automorphism of $TRO(E)$ fixing all points of E , then $T^(E) = TRO(E)$.*

Check the hypothesis?

Theorem (Hanche-Olsen 1983)

If A is a JC^ -algebra, then A is universally reversible if and only if there are no surjective Jordan $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow V_n$ with $4 \leq n \leq \infty$.*

Theorem

A JC^ -triple E is universally reversible if and only if E has no triple representations of E onto any spin factor of dimension ≥ 5 or any Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Corollary

A TRO T is universally reversible (as a JC^ -triple) \iff there are no representations of T onto Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Corollary

Let T be a TRO with no nonzero representations onto a Hilbert space of any dimension other than 2. Then

$$T^*(T) = T \oplus_{\infty} T^t \equiv T \oplus_{\infty} T^{\text{op}}$$

with $\alpha_T(x) = x \oplus x^t$, $\Phi_T(x \oplus y^t) = y \oplus x^t$.

Corollary

A TRO T is universally reversible (as a JC^ -triple) \iff there are no representations of T onto Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Corollary

Let T be a TRO with no nonzero representations onto a Hilbert space of any dimension other than 2. Then

$$T^*(T) = T \oplus_{\infty} T^t \equiv T \oplus_{\infty} T^{\text{op}}$$

with $\alpha_T(x) = x \oplus x^t$, $\Phi_T(x \oplus y^t) = y \oplus x^t$.

Corollary

A TRO T is universally reversible (as a JC^ -triple) \iff there are no representations of T onto Hilbert space of dimension ≥ 3 .*

Corollary

Let T be a TRO with no nonzero representations onto a Hilbert space of any dimension other than 2. Then

$$T^*(T) = T \oplus_{\infty} T^t \equiv T \oplus_{\infty} T^{\text{op}}$$

with $\alpha_T(x) = x \oplus x^t$, $\Phi_T(x \oplus y^t) = y \oplus x^t$.

Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.

Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.

Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.

Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is **completely contractive** if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is **completely isometric** if equality holds.



Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.



Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.



Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.



Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.



Operator space

Banach spaces E are the closed linear subspaces of [commutative] C^* -algebras

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ has an additional feature arising from its position. $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \cong \mathcal{B}(H^n)$.

An (abstract) operator space is a Banach space E together with norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ induced on matrix spaces $M_n(E)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from an isometric embedding $E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}(H)$ and

$$M_n(E) \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) \equiv \mathcal{B}(H^n) : (x_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \mapsto (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^n$$

For $T: E \rightarrow F$ linear, E and F operator spaces, T is completely contractive if

$$\|T^{(n)}(x)\|_n \leq \|x\|_n \quad (x \in M_n(E), n \geq 1).$$

T is completely isometric if equality holds.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T .

Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \cong T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T .

Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \cong T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T .

Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \cong T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T .

Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \cong T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T . Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \cong T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T . Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \equiv T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T . Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \equiv T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T . Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \equiv T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries.

Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Operator space structures of a JC^* -triple

Definition

Given a JC^* -triple E , a JC -operator space structure on E is an operator space structure induced by an isometric embedding $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ onto a concrete JC^* -triple $\pi(E) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Example

A C^* -algebra A has a canonical operator space structure as a C^* -algebra. (C^* -norm on $M_n(A)$). Same for a TRO T . Note T and T^{op} are the same as JC^* -triples, but matrix norms on $M_n(T)$ and $M_n(T^{\text{op}})$ don't usually match.

A third option is to consider

$$\{x \oplus x^t : x \in T\} \subset T \oplus T^t \equiv T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

Remark: TRO isomorphisms $\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ are complete isometries. Triple isomorphisms $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ are isometries.

Corollary (of existence of $T^*(E)$)

For each JC-operator space structure on E , there exists a TRO ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset T^*(E)$ with $\mathcal{I} \cap \alpha_E(E) = \{0\}$ such that E is completely isometric to $E_{\mathcal{I}}$, the operator space structure on E determined by the isometric embedding $E \rightarrow T^*(E)/\mathcal{I}$ ($x \mapsto \alpha_E(x) + \mathcal{I}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) & & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{B}(H) \end{array}$$

Take $\mathcal{I} = \ker \tilde{\pi}$. (We call such \mathcal{I} operator space ideals of $T^*(E)$).

Corollary (of existence of $T^*(E)$)

For each JC-operator space structure on E , there exists a TRO ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset T^*(E)$ with $\mathcal{I} \cap \alpha_E(E) = \{0\}$ such that E is completely isometric to $E_{\mathcal{I}}$, the operator space structure on E determined by the isometric embedding $E \rightarrow T^*(E)/\mathcal{I}$ ($x \mapsto \alpha_E(x) + \mathcal{I}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) & & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{B}(H) \end{array}$$

Take $\mathcal{I} = \ker \tilde{\pi}$. (We call such \mathcal{I} operator space ideals of $T^*(E)$).

Corollary (of existence of $T^*(E)$)

For each JC-operator space structure on E , there exists a TRO ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset T^*(E)$ with $\mathcal{I} \cap \alpha_E(E) = \{0\}$ such that E is completely isometric to $E_{\mathcal{I}}$, the operator space structure on E determined by the isometric embedding $E \rightarrow T^*(E)/\mathcal{I}$ ($x \mapsto \alpha_E(x) + \mathcal{I}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) & & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{B}(H) \end{array}$$

Take $\mathcal{I} = \ker \tilde{\pi}$. (We call such \mathcal{I} operator space ideals of $T^*(E)$).

Corollary (of existence of $T^*(E)$)

For each JC-operator space structure on E , there exists a TRO ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset T^*(E)$ with $\mathcal{I} \cap \alpha_E(E) = \{0\}$ such that E is completely isometric to $E_{\mathcal{I}}$, the operator space structure on E determined by the isometric embedding $E \rightarrow T^*(E)/\mathcal{I}$ ($x \mapsto \alpha_E(x) + \mathcal{I}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) & & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{B}(H) \end{array}$$

Take $\mathcal{I} = \ker \tilde{\pi}$. (We call such \mathcal{I} **operator space ideals** of $T^*(E)$).

JC -operator space structure determines algebraic structure?

Theorem

Suppose E is a universally reversible abstract JC^ -triple [linear isometric class].*

Then $\mathcal{I} \mapsto E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a bijective correspondence between the operator space ideals of $T^(E)$ and the JC -operator space structures of E*

Theorem

If in addition E has no ideals linearly isometric to a nonabelian TRO, then the only operator space ideal of $T^(E)$ is $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$.*

JC-operator space structure determines algebraic structure?

Theorem

Suppose E is a universally reversible abstract JC-triple [linear isometric class].*

Then $\mathcal{I} \mapsto E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a bijective correspondence between the operator space ideals of $T^(E)$ and the JC-operator space structures of E*

Theorem

If in addition E has no ideals linearly isometric to a nonabelian TRO, then the only operator space ideal of $T^(E)$ is $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$.*

JC-operator space structure determines algebraic structure?

Theorem

Suppose E is a universally reversible abstract JC-triple [linear isometric class].*

Then $\mathcal{I} \mapsto E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is a bijective correspondence between the operator space ideals of $T^(E)$ and the JC-operator space structures of E*

Theorem

If in addition E has no ideals linearly isometric to a nonabelian TRO, then the only operator space ideal of $T^(E)$ is $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$.*

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$.

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$.

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$.

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$.

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H).$

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$.

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Example

$E = \mathcal{B}(H)$. $T^*(E) = \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$. $\alpha_E(x) = x \oplus x^t$.

Ideals of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (for H separable) are $\{0\}, \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Ideals of $T^*(E)$ are

$\{0\} \oplus \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \{0\}, \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \{0\},$
 $\mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H), \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$.

5 JC-operator space structures on $E = \mathcal{B}(H)$:

- 1 $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H^2)$
- 2 $x \mapsto x \oplus (x^t + \mathcal{K}(H)) \in \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H)$
- 3 $\mathcal{B}(H)$
- 4 $x \mapsto (x + \mathcal{K}(H)) \oplus x^t \in (\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H)$
- 5 $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\text{op}}$

Questions?

- 1 Is there E (not universally reversible) and distinct operator space ideals with $E_{\mathcal{I}} = E_{\mathcal{J}}$?
- 2 If E is universally reversible, then $T = T^*(E)$ is universally reversible and $\exists \Phi: T \rightarrow T$ a TRO antiautomorphism with $E = \{x \in T : \Phi(x) = x\}$. Any converse statement?

Questions?

- 1 Is there E (not universally reversible) and distinct operator space ideals with $E_{\mathcal{I}} = E_{\mathcal{J}}$?
- 2 If E is universally reversible, then $T = T^*(E)$ is universally reversible and $\exists \Phi: T \rightarrow T$ a TRO antiautomorphism with $E = \{x \in T : \Phi(x) = x\}$. Any converse statement?